1 2 3 4 5 6	BRUCE NYE, SBN 77608 ADAMS   NYE   SINUNU   BRUNI   BECHT 222 Kearny Street, Seventh Floor San Francisco, California 94108-4521 Telephone: (415) 982-8955 Facsimile: (415) 982-2042 Attorneys for Defendant WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION	LLP			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO				
10					
11	MARY FRYER,	No. C 06-02999 SC			
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION and ORDER FOR DISMISSAL			
13	VS.				
14	WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION; SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY;	(San Francisco Superior Court			
15 16	PROFESSIONAL CLAIMS INVESTIGATIONS, INC.; JERRY REINSCH, and DOES 1 - 100, INCLUSIVE,	No. CGC-05-448362)			
17	Defendants.				
18					
19					
20	This matter having been settled by the parties, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that the matter				
21	may be dismissed with prejudice.				
22	194 7-				
23	DATED: August2006 ADAMS   N	NYE   SINUNU   BRUNI   BECHT LLP			
24					
25	BROCE WYE				
26	Atterneys for Defendant WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION				
27	WAIDALLOOL CORPORATION				
28					
1. STIPULATION and ORDER FOR DISMISSAL					
	USDCN C 06-02999 SC				

Fryer v. Whirlpool Corporation, et al.

## 

	Sept. 11,2006 DATED: August,2006	
ľ	DATED: August, 2006	MEIS & ALEXANDER
		By Mall
		FRED G. MEIS  Attorneys for Plaintiff  MARY FRYER
		MARY FRYER
I	DATED: August, 2006	VAN DE POEL & LEVY, LLP
		By:
		JEFFREY W. ALLEN
		Attorneys for Defendant SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
I	DATED: August, 2006	LOW, BALL & LYNCH, APC
		By:
		By: STEVEN D. WERTH Attorneys for Defendant
		PROFEŠSIONAL CLAIMS INVESTIGATIONS, INC
		ORDER
	The parties having stipulated	I thereto, and good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.
I	DATED:	
		United States District Court Judge
1		

Fryer v. Whirlpool Corporation, et al.

## 

	DATED: August, 2006	MEIS & ALEXANDER
		ByFRED G. MEIS Attorneys for Plaintiff
		Attorneys for Plaintiff MARY FRYER
		WARTTRIER
	DATED: August 31, 2006	VAN DE POEL & LEVY, LLP
,		alk was
		By: JEFFREY W. ALLEN
)		Attorneys for Defendant SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
)		SERVED CONTINUE
	DATED: August, 2006	LOW, BALL & LYNCH, APC
2		
3		By:  STEVEN D. WERTH  Attornava for Defendant
۱		Attorneys for Defendant PROFESSIONAL CLAIMS INVESTIGATIONS, INC
;		TROT EUGIOTATE CENTING ITA EUTTORIO, ITA
5	ORDER	
7	The parties having stipulated thereto, and good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED	
3		
)	DATED:	United States District Court Judge
)		omed suite bisher court auge
L		
2		
3		
1		
5		
5		
7		
3		
		2.  TIPULATION and ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

## 

l				
1	DATED: August, 2006	MEIS & ALEXANDER		
2				
3		ByFRED G. MEIS		
4		Attorneys for Plaintiff MARY FRYER		
5				
6	DATED: August, 2006	VAN DE POEL & LEVY, LLP		
7				
8		By:  JEFFREY W. ALLEN  Attorneys for Defendant		
10		Attorneys for Defendant SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY		
11	DATED: <del>August ,</del> 2006	LOW, BALL & LYNCH, APQ		
12	DATED: August, 2006 Sept 25	/ AAA		
13		By:		
14		Attorneys for Defendant PROFESSIONAL CLAIMS INVESTIGATIONS, INC.		
15		PROFESSIONAL CLAIMS INVESTIGATIONS, INC.		
16	ORDER			
17	The parties having stipulated thereto, and good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
18	October 6, 2006	STATES DISTRICT CO.		
19	DATED: October 6, 2000	United State ORDERED WAVudge		
20				
21		Judge Samuel Conti		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>				
24		DISTRICT		
25				
26				
27				
28				
		2.		
	STIPULATION and ORDER FOR DISMISSAL USDCN C 06-02999 SC			

Fryer v. Whirlpool Corporation, et al.